Dear Jin.

Relt 17: I am and have been deeply intersed in the Lattituder ploy, which provides opportunities for the allication of some intellectual judo. When one is broke it takes more time and effort. It will, in the end, be one of their worst mistakes. I can too into it now, and it keeps me at it pretty steady, to the detriment of other work that presses.

There is, as it turned out, nothing you could have done for me after you left. I had no way of knowing that then. I was more concerned with your family relations and your own later meetions, that's why I insisted on your going. And ask yourself was it not a compassionate thing that you wound up doing, elder-sitting for them and your folks?

If there were anything you could do in N.O. or Dallas, I'd ask, and will. I have written "ouis for the pages I missed. If, in time, he doesn't provide then, I'll ask you to do it. Right now I don't have time to get the files out and give you the identifications. In Dallas, everything I did was on a basis of confidentiality, therefore none of it can be followed up by you, but I do appreciate the offer.

The same is true of the interviews not already transcribed. Everything on the trip is now filed except some notes I typed that I want to review before filing.

There is something you can do, hwoever, because of your own conservative into ests perhaps better than I, but if you do it I ask that you send me what you get and keep the whole thing confidential. Dr. John K. Lattimer, Dept. Urology, Probyterian hospital, Columbia Univ., new York, wrote an article published in the New York State Journal of Ledicine. 7/1/66 titled "Similarities in the Fatal woundings of John Wilkes Booth and Lee Harvey Oswald". He twice refers to Oswald as a Com unist chemy, and the word "energy" is his. I'll give you quotes. "While both xxx shootings may well have been the actions of excited men in attacking what they considered to be a national enemy, there are many sophisticated observers who regard this point of view as being unduly haive. They believe that since both accused presidential assassins were active enemy sympathizers (Booth for the Confederacy and Oswald for the Commists), and since both shootings took place during an era of largescale under-cover operations, psychological persuasions, philosophic rivalry, and intelligence activity, that both men may have been silenced as part of a larger design." And in a latter in Medical World News (copy marked), a similar right-wing perspective. I would like to know more about his politics, and that is an area of your fami, iarity. Right now he had had enough kickback to be shuming the pre so He hasn't answered my more pointed letter. I think if you were to write him and express the belief, in the jargon you know, that these are areas needlessly left ambiguous at best by the Commission and solicit his opinion of why and note that he footnoted no source for those "sophisticated observers" and ask who they are as they relate to Tuby and Osweld, if he gives you anything it will be nut stuff. You might also seek his opinion of huby's politics, which he doesn't give, but he does use the inherently anti-Semitic "born Rubenstein". Use you own judgement on hot far to go in arraying an effort to get any anti-semitism from him. I think it might be dangerous, that he tries to sublimate it. And thanks for the try. Excuse rush, Best,